-IW Docket No. ITW-

3721

Truong, T.K.

October 27, 2004

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

Date:

John H. Schneider et al.

Serial No.: 10/042,985

Filed: October 19, 2001

TAMPER-EVIDENT EASY-OPEN SLIDER PACKAGE Title:

AND RELATED METHODS OF MANUFACTURE

Hon. Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

OCT 2 9 2004

sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application is an Amendment After Final Rejection.

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS

	for additi				dditional fee
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS:	28 -	47 =	0	x \$18	= 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS:	3 -	7 =	0	x \$86	= 0
			TOTAL FEE	DUE \$ _	0

Our check for payment of the additional claims fee is enclosed.

 \underline{X} The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any extension or other fee under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 which may be required by this paper or credit any overpayment of same to Deposit Account No. 50-3195.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Dennis M. Flaherty

Reg. No. 31,159

Ostrager Chong Flaherty &

Broitman P.C.

250 Park Avenue, Suite 825

New York, NY 10177

(212) 681-0600

(212) 681-0300 (fax)

Atty. Docket: ITW-13111

Flaherty

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

October 27, 2004

Date



Atty. Docket: ITW-13111

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

John H. Schneider et al. : Group Art Unit: 3721

Serial No.: 10/042,985 : Examiner: Truong, T.K.

Filed: October 19, 2001

Title: TAMPER-EVIDENT EASY-OPEN SLIDER

PACKAGE AND RELATED METHODS

OF MANUFACTURE

Hon. Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

In response to the Final Rejection mailed on September 9, 2004 in the above-referenced patent application, the Applicants submit the following argument in support of allowing this case.

ARGUMENT

In ¶ 4 of the Office Action, claims 1, 3-11, 13-23, 49, 52, 54 and 55 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,360,513 to Strand *et al.* ("Strand"). The Applicants traverse this ground of rejection for the following reasons.

In the first place, the Applicants object to the Final Rejection on the ground that it is deficient and contrary to the rules of examination. As set forth at MPEP § 707.07(f):

Where the applicant traverses any rejection, the examiner should, if he or she repeats the rejection, take note of the applicant's argument and answer the substance of it.